



## The Idaho Observer

# Why Is The Government So Maniacal About Injecting Vaccines?

By: Jon Rappoport

In the face of California's mandatory vaccine bills for both school and daycare as well as adult employees at those institutions, and California being a 'trend-setting' state, activists and freedom-lovers across the nation are horrified at the predicted passage of SB 277 and SB 792. On February 6, 2015, The Department of Health and Human Services announced in the Federal Register a solicitation of written comments on its draft of their National Adult Immunization Plan. It is a five year plan culminating in 2020, right on target with Healthy People 2020 which aims to have every healthy man, woman and child vaccinated with all CDC recommended vaccines. For those wondering why the state is so intent on injecting us with whatever they deem necessary for allegedly protecting the 'public health', Jon Rappoport has done an excellent job summarizing the reasons for Healthy People 2020 being played out before our eyes. (comment by Ingrid Cassel)

### Vaccines: an ideal covert op to genetically re-engineer humans

In reference to the New York Times, 3/15/15, "Protection Without a Vaccine", the article describes the frontier of research. Here are key quotes that illustrate the use of synthetic genes to "protect against disease," while changing the genetic makeup of humans. This is not science fiction:

"By delivering synthetic genes into the muscles of the [experimental] monkeys, the scientists are essentially re-engineering the animals to resist disease."

"The sky's the limit," said Michael Farzan, an immunologist at Scripps and lead author of the new study."

"The first human trial based on this strategy — called immunoprophylaxis by gene transfer, or I.G.T. — is underway, and several new ones are planned."

"I.G.T. is altogether different from traditional vaccination. It is instead a form of gene therapy. Scientists isolate the genes that produce powerful antibodies against certain diseases and then synthesize artificial versions. The genes are placed into viruses and injected into human tissue, usually muscle."

**Here is the punchline:** "The viruses invade human cells with their DNA payloads, and the synthetic gene is incorporated into the recipient's own DNA. If all goes well, the new genes instruct the cells to begin manufacturing powerful antibodies." **Read that again:** "the synthetic gene is incorporated into the recipient's own DNA." Alteration of the human genetic makeup. Not just a "visit." "Permanent residence."

**The Times article taps Dr. David Baltimore for an opinion:**

"Still, Dr. Baltimore says that he envisions that some people might be leery of a vaccination strategy that means altering their own DNA, even if it prevents a potentially fatal disease."

Yes, some people might be leery. If they have two or three working brain cells.

Let's take this further. Under the cover of preventing disease (and all good covert ops float a laudatory goal to conceal their true intent), vaccines are ideal carriers for all sorts of genes that would be permanently incorporated into the human structure.

The enormous tonnage of propaganda about vaccines, and the resultant mandatory laws that enforce vaccination (without fear of liability), create a powerful channel along which re-engineering is eminently possible.

Synthetic genes injected into billions of humans would form a grand experiment to create an altered species. Some of this is happening already, but the Times article presents a much fuller picture of what is approaching on the immediate horizon.

This grand experiment will be compartmentalized. For example, secretly, genes 1-6 will be injected into Group A in geo-location I. Genes 7-12 will be injected into Group B in location II. And so on.

Vaccine recipients will be subjected to ongoing surveillance to gauge the results. On various pretexts, members of these groups will be brought into clinics for exams and tests, to discover markers that purportedly reveal their bodies' responses to the genetic alterations.

Are these people stronger or weaker? Do they exhibit signs of illness? Do they report behavioral changes? Through surveillance and testing, all sorts of information can be compiled.

Of course, there is no informed consent. The human guinea pigs have no knowledge of what is being done to them. And what would be the objectives of this lunatic research program? They would vary. On a simplified level, there would be two. Create weaker and more docile and more obedient and more dependent humans. On the other side, create stronger and healthier and more intelligent and more talented humans. Obviously, the results of the latter experiments would be applied to the "chosen few." And clearly, some of this research will be carried on inside the military. Secrecy is easier to maintain, and the aim to produce "better soldiers" is a long-standing goal of the Pentagon and its research arm, DARPA.

A global vaccine experiment of the type I'm describing here has another bonus for the planners: those people who fall ill or die can be written off as having suffered from various diseases and disorders which "have nothing to


do with vaccines." This is already 'Standard Operating Procedure' for the medical cartel.

The numbers of casualties, in this grand experiment, would be of no concern to the Brave New World shapers. As I've documented extensively, the US medical system is already killing 2.25 million people per decade (a conservative estimate), as a result of FDA-approved drugs and mistreatment in hospitals. Major media and government leaders, aware of this fact, have done nothing about it.

Here is a quote from Princeton molecular biologist, Lee Silver, the author of Remaking Eden. It gives you a window into how important geneticists are thinking about an engineered future:

"The GenRich—who account for ten percent of the American population—[will] all carry synthetic genes. All aspects of the economy, the media, the entertainment industry, and the knowledge industry are controlled by members of the GenRich class..."

"Naturals [unaltered humans] work as low-paid service providers or as laborers. [Eventually] the GenRich class and the Natural class will become entirely separate species with no ability to crossbreed, and with as much roman-



CONTINUED FROM PAGE 6

tic interest in each other as a current human would have for a chimpanzee.

“Many think that it is inherently unfair for some people to have access to technologies that can provide advantages while others, less well-off, are forced to depend on chance alone, [but] American society adheres to the principle that personal liberty and personal fortune are the primary determinants of what individuals are allowed and able to do.

“Indeed, in a society that values individual freedom above all else, it is hard to find any legitimate basis for restricting the use of repro[grammed]-genetics. I will argue [that] the use of reprogrammed technologies is inevitable. [W]hether we like it or not, the global marketplace will reign supreme.”

Here is another gem, from Gregory Stock, former director of the program in Medicine, Technology, and Society at the UCLA School of Medicine:

“Even if half the world’s species were lost [during genetic experiments], enormous diversity would still remain. When those in the distant future look back on this period of history, they will likely see it not as the era when the natural environment was impoverished, but as the age when a plethora of new forms—some biological, some technological, some a combination of the two—burst onto the scene. We best serve ourselves, as well as future generations, by focusing on the short-term consequences of our actions rather than our vague notions about the needs of the distant future.”

Notice that these two well-known scientists are speaking about “ethics.” It’s important to realize that a significant number of such experts have their own extremely peculiar (to say the least) version of what is right and wrong. For example, in an October 2011 article published in the Journal of Medical Ethics, newborn babies are not “actual persons” and do not have a “moral right to life”. The academics also argue that parents should be able to have their baby killed if it turns out to be disabled when it is born.

With vaccines that permanently alter human genetic makeup on the horizon, and given the corporate and government-agency penchant for secrecy, we are already inhabiting the Brave New World. It’s not a distant prospect. Every genetic innovation is aimed at bringing us closer to a stimulus-response world, and further away from freedom. Which is why the defense of freedom becomes ever more vital.

That struggle comes down to who controls, yes, the philosophy, not the science. Is each human merely and only a system waiting to be re-engineered, or is he something far, far more, inhabiting a physical form?

We already know what the vast majority of brain researchers and geneticists believe, as well as the governments and corporations and universities and foundations that make important decisions.

Of course, these days, the college faculty department considered to be the least important, the most useless, a mere appendage waiting for those with wisdom to put it out of its misery and kill it off... is the philosophy department.

### **That leaves us to take up the argument and the resistance.**

Not Lee Silver at Princeton or Gregory Stock or Bill Gates or George Soros or David Rockefeller or the Pope or Stephen Hawking or Obama or the Clintons or Monsanto or Dow or the Bush family or PBS or FOX or socialists or Communists or liberals or conservatives or some wackadoodle at Harvard or MIT or UCLA.

### **Us.**

For hotlinks to references in the above article, go to <https://jonrappoport.wordpress.com/2015/07/11/vaccines-an-ideal-covert-op-to-genetically-re-engineer-humans/>

### **About the author:**

Jon Rappoport was a candidate for a US Congressional seat in the 29th District of California. He maintains a consulting practice for private clients, the purpose of which is the expansion of personal creative power. Nominated for a Pulitzer Prize, he has worked as an investigative reporter for 30 years, writing articles on politics, medicine, and health for CBS Healthwatch, LA Weekly, Spin Magazine, Stern, and other newspapers and magazines in the US and Europe. Jon has delivered lectures and seminars on global politics, health, logic, and creative power to audiences around the world.

## **EU Destroys Itself**

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 5

this is due to the easing of policies during the last twelve months, which resulted in the recent deterioration in the domestic macroeconomic and financial environment.”

The truth is that the London-centered megabanks are bankrupt despite the massive bailouts, are deep in trillions of euros of derivatives bets on the debts of Europe’s superindebted countries, and therefore will not stand for any debt writedown. That is why those debts are unpayable; the only way out is Glass-Steagall reorganization of those banks to let the speculative units fail. The debt writedowns could occur, as they did for Germany in 1953 to launch its “Wirtschaftswunder.”

EIR Founding Editor Lyndon LaRouche said again today:

“The claims against Greece are fake, The British Empire institutions issued fraudulent debt to bail out banks, and said Greece owed it! These guys are really Nazis.”

The new “plan” calls for a series of tax increases including raising the value added tax, reforming pensions and eliminating supplements for the poorest pensioners, and labor reforms. It calls for the establishment of a 50 billion Euro fund comprised of state assets slated for privatization. This is despite the fact that the International Monetary Fund in the recent debt sustainability report stat that such a figure is pure fantasy.

These measures all have to passed as laws — laws reviewed in advance by “European institutions” — by the Greek Parliament by Wednesday, July 15. Until then the European Central Bank will not restart even emergency liquidity operations to the Greek banks, which remain closed.

In a statement released after the end of the talks Greek Prime Minister Alexis Tsipras said the deal was extremely tough, but that it was agreed upon to prevent the “financial asphyxiation and the collapse of the financial system—this was planned to the last detail — having recently been designed to perfection, and in the process of being implemented.”

**But as noted, this asphyxiation will continue, as blackmail, at least until after the Parliament legislates the “plan.”**

## **Iran Nuclear Deal**

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 4

It’s theoretically possible that after fifteen years of producing 3.67% energy-grade uranium, that Iran will suddenly begin enriching uranium to 90% and produce a nuclear weapon, however, it seems unlikely. It’s also theoretically possible that a Republican President could decide that the P5+1 deal is not harsh enough on Iran and re-institute sanctions, or simply invade based on the Bush Doctrine, which seems more plausible given the fact that most of the GOP Presidential hopefuls have come out against the deal.

Without seeing the full details of the deal, I reluctantly support it because it lifts sanctions which only serve to harm the people of a country, not the government. In regards to the claim that the deal allows Iran to build nuclear weapons, I ask: since the US is the only country to ever use a nuclear weapon in war; why should the US government get to decide who is allowed to own such a weapon?

### **In Peace, Freedom, Love & Liberty, Darryl W. Perry**

**Darryl has spent most of his adult life as an advocate & activist for peace and liberty. Darryl is an award winning author, publisher & radio/TV host. He is a regular contributor to several weekly and monthly newspapers. He hosts the daily newscast FPPRadioNews, the podcast Peace, Love, Liberty Radio, the weekly news podcast FPP Freedom Minute, and is a regular co-host on Free Talk Live. Darryl is a co-founder and co-chair of the NH Liberty Party. Darryl is the Owner/Managing Editor of Free Press Publications.**

**To schedule an interview with Darryl please send an email to [editor@fpp.cc](mailto:editor@fpp.cc) or call 202 709 4377**

