



The Idaho Observer

Cancelling Deportation is Not Enough

By: Anne Wilder Chamberlain

Immigration reform is a centerpiece of Mr. Obama's second-term agenda and if he is pursuing it with the same vehemence he pursued "health care reform," in his first term, it is something to be feared.

On January 22, 2013, Senate Majority leader, Harry Reid (D-NV), reintroduced his "RABIES (Reform America's Broken Immigration System)" legislation for the 113th Congress. What was S6 in the 112th, is now S1, and he has given it a more palatable name, Immigration Reform that Works for America's Future Act.

The content, however, remains pretty much the same. While S1 includes some flowery language to convince readers that Reid and his "Gang of 8" neoconservative cohorts actually intend to secure the border and require that illegal immigrants learn English in order to be legitimized in this country, their refusal in March to defund ObamaCare for 11 to 20 million illegal immigrants as part of the 2013 appropriations bill, with its requirement that all medical facilities provide a translator for Hispanics, proves that this is not their intent.

Additionally, Mr. Obama, in his non-Executive Order of June 16, 2012, removed the threat of deportation for young people who "do not present a risk to national security or public safety." This new policy from the Department of Homeland Security provided that they "will be able to request temporary relief from deportation proceedings and apply for work authorization," without ever requiring that any of them speak English or that security on the southern border be increased. In fact, the traitor in the Oval Office has continued his lawsuits against Arizona and Alabama, which ARE attempting to enforce border security.

The compromised news media claimed the new policy was "aimed at making the nation's immigration policy more fair and more efficient" but actually Obama, impatient for "reform" in an election year, was likely hoping they would be able to illegally vote for him.

This brings the question as to why the so-called "Gang of 8," a bipartisan group of senators pushing for immigration "reform" by the end of April, are so eager to grant amnesty to as many as 20 million immigrants here illegally. It's easy to understand the motives of Senators Durbin (D-IL), Bennet (D-CO), Menendez (D-NJ), and Schumer (D-NY) being for this bill. They are all Democrats and socialists who reap the benefit of the government-dependent voters, and they live thousands of miles away from those states most affected by the criminals and freeloaders congesting state-funded jails, hospitals, schools and welfare systems.

But what of the Republicans: Jeff Flake and John McCain from Arizona, Lindsey Graham from South Carolina, and Marco Rubio from Florida? What do they gain by their states being overrun and bankrupted by illegal immigrants?

Rawstory.com reported on March 27, 2013, that Obama "has courted the Republican leaders on the issue," but did not say what he offered them. It added, the "Gang of 8" senators are seeking to wrap up an agreement

on a proposed law that would offer a pathway to eventual citizenship for undocumented immigrants. Taking up to 13 years or more, it would include steps to better secure US borders and would force the issue of E-verify."

E-verify is an Internet-based electronic employee verification program run by the Department of Homeland Security and the Social Security Administration. Currently mandated in 6 states, including South Carolina and Arizona, it would require all employers in the US, under penalty of law, to connect to a central database for a background check on every person they hire. Law abiding businesses would suffer because of the costs involved with following the mandate; if there is an information mismatch they must resolve it with the federal government within eight days. Law abiding citizens also suffer as the federal government further tracks their every move, and because the system can prevent the legitimate citizen whose SSI # has been stolen from obtaining a job. Those businesses that participate in the black market for cheap labor are unaffected.

Both S1, which grants amnesty to illegals, and HR830, the "SAVE Act," which purports to strengthen the laws we already have to bar the onslaught of illegals (but actually radically expands the police state), have E-Verify as one of their benchmarks.

"Gang of 8" Senator Marco Rubio, a second generation immigrant from Cuba, is sending out mailers seeking funds for his "Rubio Victory Committee" to "win back swing voters," including 93% of blacks, 71% of Hispanics, and 60% of young people, supposedly by his support of the free market. In his letter he spouts how his parents took "one blue collar job after another" and "never relied on big government to survive."

So why then is he advocating big government bypassing the free market and the current legal path to citizenship in order to benefit millions who currently do rely on big government and cost American taxpayers an estimated \$113 billion a year? And why are Tea Party poster boys Senator Rand Paul (R-KY) and Representative Raul Labrador (R-ID) supporting "paths to citizenship" for illegals as well?

Internet talk show host Mike Harris says since Republicans represent big business, and big business likes cheap labor, they are willing to sell out the majority of Republicans who vehemently oppose this legislation in order to provide cheap labor for those corporations that fund their lives and campaigns. Keith Johnson from *American Free Press* adds that Rubio, McCain and Graham are also notorious warmongers whose war plans for Israel would benefit by the provision that grants amnesty to immigrants that enlist in the military. None of these legislators seem to care at all about the adverse effect legitimizing the hordes of illiterate, unhygienic, uneducated aliens will have on our already broken economy and the percentage of white, self-sufficient Christians in the population.



Reid's Immigration Reform Act (S1) would:

- (1) Create a roadmap for immigrants who are here without legal status to earn citizenship, provided they pay taxes, complete a background check, learn English, and show a commitment to America;
- (2) Allow students who came to America as children to earn citizenship by attending college [at a reduced tuition rate compared to legal citizens] or joining the Armed Forces;
- (3) Protect the sustainability of the American agricultural industry [Big Agra], including the dairy industry, with a stable and legal agricultural workforce [undercutting the wages of current, green-card-holding farm workers who fought hard in the '70s to attain workers' rights];
- (4) Encourage those who seek to invest in the United States and create American jobs [i.e. Chinese Free Trade zones on American soil, many of which do NOT hire American workers and do undercut wages of current American workers];
- (5) Permit and encourage individuals who earn an advanced degree from one of our world-class universities to remain in the United States, rather than using that education to work for our international competitors [reducing the number of white collar jobs for American college graduates];
- (6) Fulfill and strengthen our Nation's commitments regarding security along our borders and at our ports of entry [we'll believe that when we

IDAHO OBSERVER CONTINUED

see it];

- (7) Strengthen our Nation's historic humanitarian tradition of welcoming asylum seekers and refugees and improve existing policies that support immigrant victims of crime and domestic violence [this is another name for asylum cities for illegal immigrant criminals!];
- (8) Create an effective electronic verification system and strengthen enforcement to prevent employers from hiring people here illegally [E-verify];
- (9) Implement a rational legal immigration system that promotes job creation by converting the current flow of illegal immigrants into the United States into a more manageable, controlled, and legal process for admitting immigrants while, at the same time, safeguarding the jobs, rights, and wages of American workers [amnesty]; and
- (10) Adopt practical and fair immigration reforms to help ensure that all families are able to be together [keep allowing anchor babies, and an invitation for MORE immigrants to flood our nation and further drain our already bankrupt government programs].

In 1965, Senator Teddy Kennedy heavily supported the Immigration and Nationality Act, which added 106 million foreigners to our county in 40 years, approximately 30% of our population. It did this by abolishing the national origins quota system that was American immigration policy since the 1920s, replacing it with a preference system that focused on immigrants' skills and family relationships with citizens or US residents. It increased to two million the number of legal immigrants allowed into our nation annually. In 1986, McCain, Kennedy, Arlen Specter and the rest of the senators in office at the time, signed an amnesty that granted 1.4 million illegal alien migrants citizenship. Their real numbers became 3.4 million. Those senators also promised to enforce our borders from further invasion.

After his tenure in office, President Ronald Reagan said that signing that bill was the worst mistake of his presidency.

As citizens of the United States we need to bombard our legislators today with the message of NO AMNESTY under any circumstances, bombard them as hard and as often as we have over gun control. And for goodness sake, vote the "Gang of 8" with their pretend conservatism out of office, along with the rest of those socialists seeking to undermine our freedom and then make us pay for it!

DHS built Domestic Surveillance Tech into Predator Drones



By: Sarah Connor, Human Resistance Leader

Did you know that Homeland Security's specifications

say drones must be able to detect whether or not a civilian is armed?

The DHS (Department of Homeland Security) has also required that the drones, which they will deploy on US citizens, be equipped with "signals interception" and "direction finding" for electronic surveillance.

DHS has customized its Predator drones, originally built for over-

seas military operations, to carry out at-home surveillance tasks that should have many worried. These drones will identify civilians carrying guns and track their cell phones.

Documents obtained by the Electronic Privacy Information Center and published on the internet provide more details about their surveillance capabilities. The department's unmanned Predator B drones, which are primarily used to patrol the United States' northern and southern borders have now been pressed into service on behalf of a growing number of law enforcement agencies including the FBI, the Secret Service, the Texas Rangers, and local police.

Homeland Security's specifications for its drones, built by San Diego-based General Atomics Aeronautical Systems, say they "shall be capable of identifying a standing human being at night as likely armed or not," meaning carrying a shotgun or rifle. They also specify "signals interception" technology that can capture communications in the frequency ranges used by mobile phones, and "direction finding" technology that can identify the locations of mobile devices or two-way radios.

The prospect of identifying armed Americans concerns Second Amendment advocates, who say that technology billed as securing the United States' land and maritime borders should not be used domestically. Michael Kostelnik, the Homeland Security official who created the program, told Congress that the drone fleet would be available to "respond to emergency missions across the country," and a Predator drone was dispatched to the tiny town of Lakota, N.D., to aid local police in a dispute that began with reimbursement for feeding six cows. The defendant, arrested with the help of Predator surveillance, lost a preliminary bid to dismiss the charges.

During his appearance before the House Homeland Security committee, Kostelnik, a retired Air Force major general who recently left the agency, testified that the drones' direction-finding ability is part of a set of "DOD capabilities that are being tested or adopted by CBP (Customs and Border Protection) to enhance unmanned aerial surveillance performance for homeland security." CBP currently has 10 Predator drones and is considering buying up to 14 more.

"The documents clearly evidence that the Department of Homeland Security is developing drones with signals interception technology and the capability to identify people on the ground," said Ginger McCall, director of the Open Government Project at the Electronic Privacy Information Center. "This allows for invasive surveillance, including potential communications surveillance, that could run afoul of federal privacy laws."

A Homeland Security official, who did not want to be identified by name, said the drones are able to identify whether movement on the ground



comes from a human or an animal, but that they do not perform facial recognition (don't believe him).

The documents show that CBP specified that the "tracking accuracy should be sufficient to allow target designation," and the agency notes on its website that its Predator B series is capable of "targeting and weapons delivery" (the military version carries multiple 100-pound Hellfire missiles). CBP says, however, that its Predator aircraft are unarmed (don't believe them).

CBP's specifications say that signals interception and direction-finding technology must work from 30MHz to 3GHz in the radio spectrum. That sweeps in the GSM and CDMA frequencies used by mobile phones, which are in the 300MHz to 2.7GHz range, as well as many two-way radios.

The specifications say: "The system shall provide automatic and manual DF of multiple signals simultaneously. Automatic DF should be able to separate out individual communication links." Automated direction-finding for cell phones has become an off-the-shelf technology: one company sells a unit that its literature says is "capable of taking the bearing of every mobile phone active in a channel."

Although CBP's unmanned Predator aircraft are commonly called drones, they're remotely piloted by FAA-licensed operators on the ground. They can fly for up to 20 hours and carry a payload of about 500 lbs. With this drone, no one has requested permission from you to conduct a search on your person; they just do it! **S**

