



## The Idaho Observer

# WRITE YOUR LEGISLATORS OPPOSING HEALTHCARE EXCHANGES 715

By: Anne Wilder Chamberlain, Idaho-Observer.com

“If ObamaCare survives Supreme Court scrutiny, its trials will be far from over,” wrote Adler and Cannon on *WSJ.com* on November 16, 2011. “That’s because the law has a major glitch that threatens its basic functioning. It’s so problematic, in fact, that the Obama administration is now brazenly trying to rewrite the law without involving Congress.”

The “Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act”, i.e. ObamaCare, offers tax credits and subsidies to households purchasing new coverage through health-insurance exchanges. This assistance was designed to hide a portion of the law’s cost to individuals by reducing the premium hikes that individuals will face after the bill goes into effect in 2014—premium hikes that already began showing up in 2012 premium rates.

The tax credits support one of the main pillars of the bill—the mandate that States set up insurance “exchanges” where people and businesses can do one-stop shopping for their mandated health insurance policies. The difficulty results from the fact that this is no simple exchange, because of the mix of federal programs such as Medicaid and Medicare, and the various regulations pertaining to eligibility, guaranteed issue, and other features of Obamacare. The States are having a hard time figuring out how to do it, or whether they even want to. And time is running out. As the *Washington Post* explains, “the exchanges will need to incorporate state and federal data on income, employment and residency. Enrollment through the state and federal exchanges is scheduled to begin in the fall of 2013.”

The law permits Washington to create the exchanges if States decline. So far, only 17 States have passed legislation to create an exchange.

This is where the glitch comes in: ObamaCare authorizes premium assistance in State-run exchanges (Section 1311) but not federal ones (Section 1321). In other words, States that refuse to create an exchange can block much of ObamaCare’s spending and practically force Congress to reopen the law for revisions.

The Supreme Court just determined that ObamaCare is a tax, and therefore, it is constitutional. The fact that it purports to tell businesses and citizens alike what “health care” they may or may not receive or provide, a violation of our First and Fourth Amendment rights, is apparently unimportant to a Supreme Court that authorizes torture of political prisoners and the murder of unborn children. ObamaCare is neither a direct tax nor an apportioned tax, the only two taxes that are constitutional. It increases the size of government by expanding the number of IRS agents by 60,000 in order to enforce the unconstitutional tax, as well as employing thousands of government agents to implement various other aspects of the program, all government positions which must be paid for via Congressional authorization.

I oppose ObamaCare. I oppose this assault on my privacy and my freedom to determine how I will keep myself and my descendents healthy. Just because a Supreme Court “justice” determines that this tax is constitutional,

does not make it constitutional. Republicans claim they are working to reduce the size of government, and accordingly, our taxes. Therefore, it becomes more imperative that this bill should not only be abolished, but that every aspect of it be defunded.

And although the bill authorizes that if States can’t (or won’t) make the 2013 deadline, the federal government can step in and run the exchange out of Washington. The Department of Health and Human Services is reportedly terrified of having to do this, and doubts it can be done by the deadline.

In order for the tax called ObamaCare to go into effect, the individual States must create the health care exchanges.

If the States do not cooperate with the Obama Administration’s nightmare legislation, its attempts to allow Big Pharma, Big Insurance, and the IRS to take over our right to inexpensive health care and alternative medicine will die due to lack of participation. There are many bills currently in Congress to correct the health care problems in this nation. ObamaCare is not one of them. Therefore we all must contact our state legislators and demand they stand up for our rights and health freedom and DO NOT create a health care exchange at the State level. Then contact the federal legislators and ask them to repeal and de-fund ObamaCare now.



## Lone Wolf: A New “Terror” threat



By: Charly

If you are thinking about tweeting about clouds, pork, exercise or even Mexico, think again. Doing so may result in a closer look by the US Department of Homeland Security. In March 2012, the Police State agency was forced to release a list of keywords it was using to monitor social networking sites. Let’s face it—in the ten plus years since the false flag event of 9/11, a pretty sophisticated Surveillance State has been set up. It is obvious that Homeland Security sees the enemy as the American people. On the surface, such fine-toothed monitoring on the part of officials may seem benign to many of us, but if you scratch the surface there is much more going on behind the scenes.

And who may be typing these keywords on a keyboard in a darkened room with the shades drawn? Why it’s the ominous sounding “lone wolf”, the latest “terror” threat dreamed up by the puppet-masters who pull the strings of DHS. In June 2012, Edward Dickson, the director of New Jersey’s Office of Homeland Security and Preparedness, said in a statement at a symposium given by a local water company that the greatest danger ever imagined was “the threat posed by radicalized, local lone-wolf operators who could orchestrate small scale attacks”.



He was accompanied by Michael Ward, a “Special Agent” of